



Investigation of Precipitation Growth in Aluminium-Silver Alloys via in-situ SAXS

Johanna Akbarzadeh¹, Herwig Peterlik¹ ¹ Dynamics of Condensed Systems, Faculty of Physics, University of Vienna, Austria

Introduction

Alloys have a big area of applications in engineering where they are mostly exposed to extreme conditions. Therefore, the knowledge about the influence of different parameters (e.g. high temperature exposition, external forces...) on their structure and later on their mechanical properties is of great importance for their production. In-situ small-angle x-ray scattering (SAXS) measurements offer the possibility to study the structural behaviour of materials under various conditions.

The first part of this work deals with the temperature dependence of the growth of the precipitations. Therefore in-situ SAXS measurements were carried out at different temperatures on two alloys: Al2at.%Ag and Al6at.%Ag. Changes in radii and the mean distance between the precipitations were, firstly, determined by "Guinier-fits" and afterwards by using the "Hard-Sphere-Model" [1] [2]. The second part of this work deals with the precipitations' shape modification in dependence on external load [3].

Basic principles

The process of precipitation requires:

- The material is an allow
- . Limited solubility of at least one component in the solid state
- . Solubility has to decrease with decreasing temperature

Factors controlling the shapes of precipitates:

- The adopted shape is determined by the balance between interfacial Helmholtz energy and elastic Helmholtz energy
- . Hier L is the ratio of elastic to interfacial energy
- . When the particles are small, interfacial energy is the dominant factor in setting the particle shape and as L increases, the effect of elastic stress becomes important [4]

$L = \frac{\delta^2}{C_{44}\sigma_{\alpha\beta}} \frac{3V^{4/3}}{4\pi}$	$\delta = 2 \frac{a_{\alpha} - a_{\beta}}{a_{\alpha} + a_{\beta}}$	a_{α}, a_{β} latice parameter
δ misfit factor	$\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ interfacial energy	C44 elastic constant

Sample preparation and Experimental set-up

- Production of Al-2at.%Ag and Al-6at.%Ag alloys in an induction furnace
- Rolling the samples to an x-ray transparent thickness. (45 μm 60 $\mu m)$
- Homogenization at 500°C for several hours
- Quenching in oil instead of water in order to prevent surface oxidation

Data evaluation

Tensile test machine		
	Ī	
X-ray tranparent furmace	on on	
Fig. 1: Experimental setup		

Guinior-	Mod	۰۱۵
Guillier-	11100	с.

In a first approximation the radii were calculated using a Guinier-plot (q² vs ln(l(q)):

Therefrom one gets the radius of gyration and can calculate the mean radius of the particles with:

mean distance between the The precipitates can be roughly calculated with the approximation:

Hard-Sphere-Model:

The scattering intensity I(q) of a material consisting of identical particles:

$$P(q) = 3 \cdot \left(\frac{\sin(qR) \cdot (qR)\cos(qR)}{(qR)^3} \right)$$
$$S(q) = \frac{1}{1 + 8\eta (1 + 2\eta)^2 / (1 - \eta)^4 P(q)}$$

References: [1] J. S. Pedersen, Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. 70, 1997, 171-210 [2] Kinning D. J., Thomas E. L., Macromolecules, Vol. 17, 1984 [3] Gupta et al., Acta Mater. 40 (2001) 53-63 [4] G. Kostorz, "Phase Transformations in Materials", 2001, WILEY-VCH

 $I(q) \propto P(q)^2 \cdot S(q)$

 $I(q) = I_0 \cdot e$

 $R = \sqrt{5/3} R_{o}$

 $d = -\frac{2\pi}{2\pi}$

 $q_{\rm max}$

- P(q) ... Formfactor for spherical particles
- S(q) ... Structurefactor for particleparticle interaction [1],[2] (Hard-Sphere-Model)
 - $\eta \ \ldots$ Probability to find neighbouring particles



Temperature measurements:

- Al-2at.%Ag: 120°C, 150°C, 190°C and 220°C.
 Al-6at.%Ag: 150°C, 165°C and 190°C.



Investigation of the effekt of external load on the precipitations' shape:

- · Al-2at.%Ag alloys were investigated at 190°C for several external loads
- . The samples had a thickness of 50 µm and a breadth of 5 mm
- Applied strain • (20 – 32) MPa



Chi [1 Fig. 6: Calculated radii for an Al-2at.%-Ag sample at 190°C and 7N external load after 2h

(top) and after 20h (bottom). No significant

dependence on orientation visible.



Fig. 5: Scattering pattern of an Al-2at.%-Ag sample at 190°C and 7N external load after 2h (left) and after 20h (right).

In order to proof a shape modification a cake integration with 36 bins in azimuthal direction (opening angle $10^\circ)$ and 100 bins in radial direction was preformed. For every azimuthal bin the Guinier radius was calculated



Fig 7: Scheme of a cake integration

Conclusion

- . Guinier Fits are applicable while the precipitates have a diameter less then 3 nm
- For precipitates larger then 3 nm the "Hard-Sphere-Model" is a more significant evaluation method
- It was found that there were no measurable changes in the precipates' shape within a resolution limit of 1%. Possible reasons are:
 - Too low temperature
 - Too less strain
 - Too small starting precipitates

- Prof. B. Sepiol ist acknowledged for sample preparation and helpful discussions Dr. M. Leitner is acknowledged for helpful discussions D. Gitschthaler and Dr. S. Puchegger are acknowledged for technical support